



- This was a Type II action and didn't require a SEQR.
- The proposed addition falls within the current Land Use regulations and Comprehensive plan.
- The height of the proposed addition wasn't an issue.
- It met all setback requirements.

Ms. Gabel made a motion to approve the site plan application as submitted, seconded by Mr. Witkowski.

Upon further discussion, a roll call vote was taken. Ayes-5. Nays-0. Absent-1.

Mr. Neu informed Mr. Leseurre he could contact Mr. Harrop for his building permit.

**Thomas Cerwonka:** Property located at 9248 Cedar Springs Rd. Request to add 14 ft. by 14 ft. addition to the rear of the existing home.

No one was present to represent this application.

Upon the Planning Board's review of the application, the following items were noted:

- The application was complete with engineered plans for the proposed addition.
- It met all setback requirements.
- It was a Type II activity requiring no SEQR.

Mr. Witkowski made a motion to approve the site plan as submitted, seconded by Ms. Gabel.

Upon further discussion, a roll call vote was taken. Ayes-5. Nays-0. Absent-1.

**COMMUNICATIONS:**

Mr. Neu stated the Ag Consul may want to find out if there was any interest from area farmers regarding the top dressing their fields with hydrated cow manure.

Ms. Gabel made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 7:45PM, seconded by Ms. Kerrick.

Sincerely,

Maureen Kurtz